SYDNEY AUSTRALIAN CERVICAL SCREENING RENEWAL:



EXPERIENCES OF GENERAL PRACTITIONERS, AND OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNAECOLOGISTS

Obermair, H.M.^{1,2}, Bennett, K.³, Brotherton, J.M.L.^{4,5}, Smith, M.A.^{1,6}, McCaffery, K.J.¹, Dodd, R.H.¹

1 – University of Sydney; 2 – Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney; 3 – University College London; 4 – VCS Foundation, Victoria; 5 – University of Melbourne, 6 – Cancer Council NSW

Introduction	Results	Conclusions
 In 2017, the Australian National Cervical Screening Program implemented five-yearly primary human papillomavirus (HPV) screening for women aged 25- 74 (1) Previous research shows that clinicians have faced challenges in implementation of the new screening guidelines (2) The effectiveness of the renewed program depends upon its successful implementation including its acceptability to, and adoption by, clinicians 	607 responses were included: O&Gs n=324 (53.4%); GPs n=283 (46.6%)	 While most clinicians are comfortable with the renewed Cervical Screening Program, challenges include the screening Register, self- collection, and increased demand for colposcopy These findings demonstrate that certain elements of the screening program may
	Attitudes of clinicians towards cervical screening changes	
	 More than 80% of clinicians were comfortable with the main screening changes: extended screening intervals, increased first screening age and HPV screening only (instead of cytology) 	
	National Cervical Screening Register	
	Only 47% of clinicians had used the National Cancer Screening Register	
	Clinicians reported issues with accessing accurate data from the Registry in a timely way	
A :	Self collection	 require ongoing policy review This study has limitations, including inability to calculate a survey response rate and subsequent
 Aim To examine the attitudes and experiences of Australian clinicians with the renewed Cervical 	 35% of clinicians did not believe that self-collection was a reasonable alternative to practitioner collected screening Some clinicians advocated for extended eligibility for self-collected tests 	
Screening Program	Colposcopy	
Methods Participants	 Increased demand for colposcopy was noted by many O&Gs Only 54.4% of O&Gs practicing colposcopy felt that demands for 	representativeness References
 Australian O&Gs and GPs involved in cervical screening + treating cervical abnormalities Recruitment 	colposcopy were being met and recommended timeframes for colposcopies adhered to	 (1) Cancer Council Australia Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines Working Party (2017). National Cervical Screening Program: Guidelines for the management of screen-detected abnormalities, screening in specific populations and investigation of abnormal vaginal bleeding (2) Dodd, R. H., et al. (2020). "Implementing changes to cervical screening: A qualitative study with health professionals." Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 60(5): 776-783
	Further education for clinicians	
 Clinicians were recruited through RANZOG + hospital mailing lists and newsletters Procedure A mixed-methods survey was conducted, with quantitative items (on a 5 point Likert scale) and qualitative items (free-text) 	 The most frequently self-identified areas of educational need were management of women with previous screen detected abnormalities, management post normal colposcopy and screening in complex scenarios (e.g. immunocompromise) O&Gs identified uncertainty in the management of older women with persistent HPV positivity and Type 3 transformation zone 	