An audit of vitamin D screening during pregnancy

¹Dimitrov-Zeller S, ¹Watson-Jones R

¹Fiona Stanley Hospital, Perth, Western Australia

s.dimitrov-zeller@hotmail.com

Introduction

The Choosing Wisely Australia initiative endorses guidelines which state vitamin D screening should be offered to selected antenatal women. We aimed to assess adherence to local guidelines in our audit population.¹

Methods

Local guidelines recommend antenatal vitamin D screening in women with limited exposure to sunlight; dark skin; a prepregnancy BMI \geq 40 with consideration of season and climate.¹ Serum vitamin D <50nmol/L requires supplementation though a repeat blood test is not required.¹ Ethnicity and occupation were recorded as representation of dark skin and limited exposure to sunlight respectively. 378 women attending consultant-led antenatal clinics at Fiona Stanley Hospital (FSH) in July 2018 were retrospectively analysed.

Results

336 of 378 women had at least one vitamin D screening test (89%).

Table 1: Vitamin D screening results

Patient group	Normal Vitamin D level (≥50nmol/L)	Low Vitamin D level (<50nmol/L)
All screened women (n=336)	212 (63%)	124 (37%)
Women initially screened at FSH (n=77)	58 (75%)	19 (25%)

Our hospital ordered 133 tests of which 56 were repeat tests. 63 of 336 women screened had \ge 2 tests (19%), 50 of whom had \ge 1 test at our hospital.

Ethnicity and occupation were listed for 84 (22%) and 235 (62%) women respectively. 42 women had a BMI \ge 40 (11%), of whom 36 were screened (86%).

Discussion

Vitamin D deficiency risk in the audit population was difficult to analyse due to inadequate documentation. Results highlight the importance of further antenatal vitamin D screening education. To further decrease unnecessary screening, it may be useful to move vitamin D from the 'routine' to the 'as required' screening section in the National Woman-Held Pregnancy Record.